

Proceedings of the 23nd Python in Science Conference ISSN: 2575-9752

Evaluating Probabilistic Forecasters with sktime and tsbootstrap — Easy-to-Use, Configurable Frameworks for Reproducible Science

Benedikt Heidrich¹ • Kankalp Gilda² • Kankalp Gilda²

¹sktime, ²DevelopYours, LLC

Abstract

Evaluating probabilistic forecasts is complex and essential across various domains, yet no comprehensive software framework exists to simplify this task. Despite extensive literature on evaluation methodologies, current practices are fragmented and often lack reproducibility. To address this gap, we introduce a reproducible experimental workflow for evaluating probabilistic forecasting algorithms using the sktime package. Our framework features a unified software API for forecasting algorithms, a simple specification language for complex algorithms, including meta-algorithms like bootstrapping, probabilistic performance metrics, and standardized evaluation workflows. We demonstrate the framework's efficacy through a study evaluating prediction intervals added to point forecasts. Our results highlight the improved prediction accuracy and reliability of combined approaches. We provide reusable code and invite contributions from the research community to extend our experiments and tackle computational challenges for broader studies.

Keywords time series, machine learning, benchmarking

1. INTRODUCTION

Making probabilistic forecasts is challenging, and evaluating probabilistic forecasts, or the algorithms that produce them, is even more difficult.

A significant body of literature focuses on developing robust meta-methodologies for evaluation. This includes evaluation metrics such as the Continuous Ranked Probability Score (CRPS) [1] and their properties, like properness, as well as benchmarking setups and competitions like the Makridakis competitions [2], [3]. This meta-field builds upon a broader primary field that develops methodologies for algorithms producing probabilistic forecasts, encompassing classical methods, uncertainty estimation techniques like bootstrap or conformal intervals, and modern deep learning and foundation models [4], [5], [6], [7].

Despite the critical importance of evaluating probabilistic forecasts in various domains, including finance, energy, healthcare, and climate science, no comprehensive software framework or interface design has emerged to cover all these needs with a simple workflow or specification language. For instance, the reproducing code for the Makridakis competitions—while extensive in scope—relies on forecasts generated from disparate software interfaces. Similar issues are found in other benchmarking studies, where code availability is often limited or nonexistent [8], [9]. This lack of unified interfaces makes it challenging for practitioners in both industry and academia to contribute to or verify the growing body of evidence.

Published Jul 10, 2024

Correspondence to Benedikt Heidrich benedikt.heidrich@sktime.net

•

Open Access

Copyright © 2024 Heidrich *et al.*. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license, which enables reusers to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format, so long as attribution is given to the creator.

To address these limitations, we present a simple, reproducible experimental workflow for evaluating probabilistic forecasting algorithms using sktime [10]. As of 2024, the sktime package provides the most comprehensive collection of time series-related algorithms in unified interfaces and stands out as the only major, non-commercially governed framework for time series forecasting.

The key components of this reproducible benchmarking framework are:

- A unified software API for forecasting algorithms, mirroring a unified mathematical interface.
- Composite forecasters (meta-algorithms), such as adding prediction intervals via time series bootstrapping, which themselves follow the same forecasting interface from both software and mathematical perspectives.
- A first-order language that allows for the unambiguous specification of even complex forecasting algorithms.
- A unified software API for probabilistic performance metrics, covering metrics for distribution as well as interval or quantile forecasts.
- A standardized workflow for obtaining benchmark result tables for combinations of algorithms, metrics, and experimental setups.

To demonstrate the efficacy and ease of use of sktime in benchmarking probabilistic forecasters, we conducted a small study exploring the performance of various metaalgorithms (wrappers) that add prediction intervals to point forecasters. We investigated a range of forecasters, including Naive Forecasting and AutoTheta models [11], along with probabilistic wrappers such as Conformal Intervals and BaggingForecaster with different bootstrapping methods. For the bootstrapping methods, we use the tsbootstrap library [12], [13].

The study's goal was to evaluate the effectiveness of these combined approaches in improving prediction accuracy and reliability.

We conducted experiments on several common datasets, including Australian electricity demand [14], sunspot activity [15], and US births [16]. These datasets represent different time frequencies and characteristics.

Our paper is accompanied by easily reusable code, and we invite the open research and open-source communities to contribute to extending our experiments or using our code to set up their own. As is often the case in modern data science, computational power is a limiting factor, so we hope to leverage the SciPy conference to plan a more comprehensive set of studies.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 3, we describe the forecasting methods and probabilistic wrappers used in our experiments. Section 4.2 provides an overview of the datasets used for evaluation. In Section 4.3, we present the experimental results and discuss the performance of the combined approaches. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude the paper and outline directions for future research.

2. SKTIME AND TSBOOTSTRAP FOR REPRODUCIBLE EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we summarize the key design principles used for reproducible benchmarking in sktime. Thereby, from a software perspective, it is worth noting that **sktime** [10], [17] contains multiple native implementations, including naive methods, all probability wrappers, and pipeline composition, but also provides a unified interface across multiple packages in the time series ecosystem, e.g.:

- **tsbootstrap** [12], [13]: A library for time series bootstrapping methods, integrated with sktime.
- **statsforecast** [18]: A library for statistical and econometric forecasting methods, featuring the Auto-Theta algorithm.
- **statsmodels** [19]: A foundational library for statistical methods, used for the deseasonalizer and various statistical primitives.

This hybrid use of sktime as a framework covering first-party (itself), second-party (tsbootstrap), and third-party (statsmodels, statsforecast) packages is significant. Credit goes to the maintainers and implementers of these packages for implementing the contained algorithms that we can interface.

2.1. Unified Interface

In sktime and tsbootstrap, algorithms and mathematical objects are treated as first-class citizens. All objects of the same type, such as forecasters, follow the same interface. This consistency ensures that every forecaster is exchangeable with any other. The same holds for all bootstrapping methods in tsbootstrap. Thus, they are easily exchangeable enabling simple and fast experimentation.

Forecasters are objects with fit and predict methods, allowing both the target time series (endogenous data) and the time series that influence the target time series (exogenous data) to be passed. For example, the following code (Program 1) specifies a forecaster, fits it, and makes predictions.

A crucial design element in the above is that line 9 can specify any forecaster - for instance, ARIMA or ExponentialSmoothing, and the rest of the code will work without modification.

Currently, sktime supports the construction of 82 individual forecasters. Some of these are implemented directly in sktime, but sktime also provides adapters providing a unified API to forecasting routines from other libraries, such as gluonts and prophet. Each forecaster is parametric, with configurations ranging from 1 to 47 parameters across different forecasters. A list of forecasters can be obtained or filtered via the all_estimators utility.

```
# importing the necessary modules
from sktime.datasets import load_airline
from sktime.forecasting.naive import NaiveForecaster
# load_exemplary data
y = load_airline()
# specifying the forecasting algorithm
forecaster = NaiveForecaster(strategy="last", sp=12)
# fitting the forecaster -- forecast y into the future, 3 steps ahead
forecaster.fit(y, fh=[1, 2, 3])
# querying predictions
y_pred = forecaster.predict()
```

Program 1. Exemplary code for fitting and predicting with a forecaster

```
from sktime.registry import all_estimators
# get all forecasters
all_forecasters = all_estimators("forecaster")
# get all forecasters that support prediction intervals
all_estimators("forecaster", filter_tags={"capability:pred_int": True})
```

Program 2. Code to list all forecaster and all probabilistic forecasters (tag=capability:pred_int)

```
# importing the necessary modules
from sktime.datasets import load_airline
from sktime.forecasting.naive import NaiveForecaster
# load exemplary data
y = load_airline()
# specifying the forecasting algorithm
forecaster = NaiveForecaster(strategy="last", sp=12)
# fitting the forecaster -- forecast y into the future, 3 steps ahead
forecaster.fit(y, fh=[1, 2, 3])
# making interval predictions
y_pred_int = forecaster.predict_interval()
# making distribution predictions
y_pred_proba = forecaster.predict_proba()
```

Program 3. Exemplary probabilistic forecast with NaiveForecaster

To better filter the forecasters (as well as the other estimators such as classifiers and regressors) based on their properties and capabilities and to control their behaviour, sktime implements a tag system. Each estimator, such as a forecaster, has a dict_tags, with a string as a key describing the name and a value of an arbitrary type describing the property. This type system enables an easy identification of all probabilistic forecasters, since they are tagged with the "capability:pred_int" tag. Currently, 45 of the 82 forecasters support probabilistic prediction modes (Program 2), such as prediction intervals, quantile predictions, or full distributional predictions:

More details can be found in the official tutorials (an overview of notebooks and tutorials is provided on our homepage). Creating algorithms with compatible APIs is straightforward.

sktime and tsbootstrap provide fill-in extension templates for creating algorithms in a compatible interface, which can be shared in a third-party code base and indexed by sktime, or contributed directly to sktime.

2.2. Reproducible Specification Language

All objects in sktime are uniquely specified by their construction string, which serves as a reproducible blueprint. Algorithms are intended to be stable and uniquely referenced across versions; full replicability can be achieved by freezing Python environment versions and setting random seeds.

For example, the specification string NaiveForecaster(strategy="last", sp=12) uniquely specifies a native implementation of the seasonal last-value-carried-forecaster, with seasonality parameter 12.

Typical sktime code specifies the forecaster as Python code, but it can also be used as a string to store or share specifications. The registry.craft utility converts a Python string into an sktime object, ensuring easy reproducibility:

which can be used to copy a full specification from a research paper and immediately construct the respective algorithm in a Python environment, even if full code has not been shared by the author.

Program 4. Code to craft a forecaster from a string

from sktime.registry import craft
forecaster = craft('NaiveForecaster(strategy="last", sp=12)')

```
# importing the necessary modules
from sktime.datasets import load_airline
from sktime.forecasting.naive import NaiveForecaster
from sktime.forecasting.conformal import ConformalIntervals
# load_airline()
# specifying the forecasting algorithm
forecaster = ConformalIntervals(NaiveForecaster(strategy="last", sp=12))
# fitting the forecaster -- forecast y into the future, 3 steps ahead
forecaster.fit(y, fh=[1, 2, 3])
# making interval predictions
y_pred_int = forecaster.predict_interval()
```

Program 5. Code to add conformal prediction intervals to a forecaster

This approach makes it extremely easy to share specifications reproducibly, simplifying the often convoluted process of describing algorithms in research papers.

2.3. Meta-Algorithms and Composability

sktime provides not only simple algorithms as objects in unified interfaces but also metaalgorithms, such as data processing pipelines or algorithms that add interval forecast capability to existing forecasting algorithms. Importantly, these composites also follow unified interfaces.

This leads to a compositional specification language with rich combinatorial flexibility. For example, the following code adds conformal prediction interval estimates to the NaiveForecaster (Program 5):

The conformal prediction interval fits multiple instances of the wrapped forecaster on parts of the time series using window sliding. In particular, each forecaster's instance *i* is fit on the time series $y_{1:t_i}$, where $t_i \neq t_j$. Afterwards, the residuals are computed on the remaining time series $y_{t_{i+1}:n}$, where *n* is the length of the time series. Out of these residuals, the prediction intervals are computed. The resulting algorithm possesses fit, predict, and added by the wrapper - predict_interval, as well as the capability:pred_int tag.

Data transformation pipelines can be constructed similarly, or with an operator-based specification syntax (Program 6):

This creates a forecasting algorithm that first computes differences, then remove the seasonality (deseasonalize) by assuming a periodicity of 12. Then the

```
# importing the necessary modules
from sktime.datasets import load_airline
from sktime.forecasting.naive import NaiveForecaster
from sktime.transformations.series.detrend import Deseasonalizer
from sktime.transformations.series.difference import Differencer
# load exemplary data
y = load_airline()
pipeline = Differencer() * Deseasonalizer(sp=12) * NaiveForecaster(strategy="last")
# fitting the forecaster -- forecast y into the future, 3 steps ahead
pipeline.fit(y, fh=[1, 2, 3])
# making interval predictions
y_pred_int = pipeline.predict()
```



```
# importing the necessary modules
from sktime.datasets import load_airline
from sktime.forecasting.naive import NaiveForecaster
from sktime.performance_metrics.forecasting.probabilistic import CRPS
from sktime.split import temporal_train_test_split
# load exemplary data
y = load_airline()
y_train, y_test = temporal_train_test_split(y, test_size=36)
# specifying the forecasting algorithm
forecaster = NaiveForecaster(strategy="last", sp=12)
# fitting the forecaster -- forecast y into the future, 3 steps ahead
forecaster.fit(y_train, fh=y_test.index)
# making interval predictions
y_pred_int = forecaster.predict_interval()
 making distribution predictions
y pred proba = forecaster.predict proba()
# Initialise the CRPS metric
crps = CRPS()
# Calculate the CRPS
crps(y_test, y_pred_proba)
```

Program 7. Code to make probabilistic forecasts and calculate the CRPS

NaiveForecaster(strategy="last") uses the last value as prediction for the next value (lastvalue-carry-forward method). Finally it adds the seasonality back and inverts the first differences. As before, the resulting forecaster provides unified interface points and is interchangeable with other forecasters in sktime.

2.4. Probabilistic Metrics

In sktime, evaluation metrics are first-class citizens. Probabilistic metrics compare the ground truth time series with predictions, representing probabilistic objects such as predictive intervals or distributions. For example:

Tags control the type of probabilistic prediction expected, such as "scitype:y_pred" with the value "pred_proba" for CRPS.

2.5. Benchmarking and Evaluation

Our benchmarking framework involves a standardized workflow for obtaining benchmark result tables for combinations of algorithms, metrics, and experimental setups. Here is an example of how to add forecasters and tasks:

This approach ensures that our benchmarking process is both comprehensive and reproducible.

2.6. Prior Work

The design principles of sktime draw inspiration from several established machine learning frameworks. Notably, scikit-learn in Python [20], mlr in R [21], and Weka [22] have pioneered the use of first-order specification languages and object-oriented design patterns for machine learning algorithms.

sktime extends these foundational ideas by introducing specialized interfaces for time series forecasting, including both point and probabilistic forecasts. It also incorporates unique features such as:

Probabilistic forecasters and associated metrics interfaces

```
# Example code to add forecasters and tasks
# Import the necessary modules
from sktime.benchmarking.forecasting import ForecastingBenchmark
from sktime.datasets import load_airline
from sktime.forecasting.naive import NaiveForecaster
from sktime.performance_metrics.forecasting.probabilistic import CRPS
from sktime.split import SlidingWindowSplitter
# Initialise the benchmark object
benchmark = ForecastingBenchmark()
# Add a forecaster to the benchmark
benchmark.add estimator(
    estimator=NaiveForecaster(strategy="last", sp=12),
    estimator_id="naive_forecaster"
)
# Initialise the Splitter
cv_splitter = SlidingWindowSplitter(
    step length=12,
    window_length=24,
    fh=range(12)
)
# Add a task to the benchmark
# A task is a combination of a dataset loader, a splitter, and a list of metrics
benchmark.add_task(
    load_airline,
    cv_splitter,
    [CRPS()]
)
# Run the benchmark
benchmark.run("result.csv")
```

Program 8. Example usage of the ForecastingBenchmark in sktime.

- Reproducibility patterns and APIs
- Meta-algorithms for enhanced composability
- · Inhomogeneous API composition for flexible algorithmic design

Additionally, the R/fable package [23] has contributed similar concepts specifically tailored to forecasting, which sktime has expanded upon or adapted to fit within its framework.

By leveraging and building upon these prior works, sktime offers a comprehensive and adaptable toolkit for time series forecasting, fostering reproducible research and facilitating extensive benchmarking and evaluation. For a detailed analysis of design patterns in AI framework packages and innovations in sktime, see [24].



Figure 1. Benchmarking and evaluation framework

3. Algorithmic Setup

In this section, we describe the forecasting algorithms used in our experiments. Our methods combine traditional forecasting models with uncertainty estimation wrappers, showcasing the benchmarking and model specification capabilities of sktime. This study serves as an invitation to the scientific Python community to engage and contribute to a more systematic study with reproducible specifications.

3.1. Forecasting Pipeline

Each forecaster is wrapped in a Differencer and a Deseasonalizer as preprocessing steps to improve stationarity. These preprocessors are necessary because some forecasters require the time series to be stationary (i.e., the properties of the time series at time t + 1, t + 2, ..., t + n do not depend on the observation at time t [25]) and non-seasonal.

- Differencer: Computes first differences, which are inverted after forecasting by cumulative summing.
- Deseasonalizer(sp=data_sp): Removes the seasonal component, which is added back after forecasting. It estimates the trend by applying a convolution filter to the data, removing the trend, and then averaging the de-trended series for each period to return the seasonal component.

All used forecasters are point forecasters, i.e., for each time step they provide one value (point), and no information about the uncertainty of the forecast. Thus, they are combined with one of the probabilistic wrappers to generate prediction intervals or quantile forecasts.

The partial pipeline specification, illustrated in Figure 2, is:

Differencer() * Deseasonalizer(sp=data_sp) * wrapper(forecaster)

where variable parts are wrapper, forecaster, and data_sp. These components are varied as described below. Note the code for running the whole benchmark is provided in the Section 4.

3.2. Component Forecasting Models

We use several component forecasting models in this study, each with unique characteristics:

- NaiveForecaster(strategy, sp): Uses simple heuristics for forecasting. The strategy parameter allows selecting the type of algorithm:
 - mean: Uses the mean of the last N values, with seasonal periodicity sp if passed.



Figure 2. The forecasting pipeline used for all the forecasters

- last: Uses the last observed, with seasonal periodicity sp if passed.
- drift: Fits a line between the first and last values of the considered window and extrapolates forward.
- StatsForecastAutoTheta(sp): A variant of the Theta model of V. Assimakopoulos and K. Nikolopoulos [26] with automated parameter tuning, from the statsforecast library.

3.3. Probabilistic Wrappers

We use the following probabilistic wrappers to enhance the forecasting models:

- ConformalIntervals(forecaster, strategy): Uses conformal prediction methods G. Shafer and V. Vovk [27] to produce non-parametric prediction intervals. Variants of the method are selected by the strategy parameter: **Empirical** and **Empirical Residual** use training quantiles, with the latter using symmetrized residuals. **Conformal** implements the method of G. Shafer and V. Vovk [27], and **Conformal Bonferroni** applies the Bonferroni correction [28].
- BaggingForecaster(bootstrap_transformer, forecaster): Provides probabilistic forecasts by bootstrapping time series and aggregating the bootstrap forecasts [25], [29]. The BaggingForecaster takes a bootstrap algorithm bootstrap_transformer, a first-class object in sktime. Various bootstrap algorithms with their parameters are applied in the study.
- NaiveVariance(forecaster): Uses a sliding window to compute backtesting residuals, aggregated by forecasting horizon to a variance estimate. The mean is obtained from the wrapped forecaster, and variance from the pooled backtesting estimate.
- SquaringResiduals(forecaster, residual_forecaster): Uses backtesting residuals on the training set, squares them, and fits the residual_forecaster to the squared residuals. Forecasts of residual_forecaster are used as variance predictions, with mean predictions from forecaster, to obtain a normal distributed forecast. In this study, residual_forecaster is always NaiveForecaster(strategy="last").

3.4. Bootstrapping Techniques

Bootstrapping methods generate multiple resampled datasets from the original time series data, which can be used as part of wrappers to estimate prediction intervals or predictive distributions. In this study, we use bootstrap algorithms from tsbootstrap [12], [13], sktime [10], and scikit-learn [20] compatible framework library dedicated to time series bootstrap algorithms. sktime adapts these algorithms via the TSBootstrapAdapter, used as bootstrap_transformer in BaggingForecaster.

- MovingBlockBootstrap: Divides the time series data into overlapping blocks of a fixed size and resamples these blocks to create new datasets. The block size is chosen to capture the dependence structure in the data.
- BlockDistributionBootstrap: Generates bootstrapped samples by fitting a distribution to the residuals of a model and then generating new residuals from the fitted distribution. This method assumes that the residuals follow a specific distribution, such as Gaussian or Poisson, and handles dependencies by resampling blocks of residuals. To create a new time series, the bootstrapped residuals are added to the model's fitted values.
- BlockResidualBootstrap: Designed for time series data where a model is fit to the data, and the residuals (the difference between the observed and predicted data) are bootstrapped. This method is particularly useful when a good model fit is available for the data. The bootstrapped samples are created by adding the bootstrapped residuals to the model's fitted values.
- BlockStatisticPreservingBootstrap: Generates bootstrapped time series data while preserving a specific statistic of the original data. This method handles dependencies by

resampling blocks of data while ensuring that the preserved statistic remains consistent.

In this study, these bootstrapping techniques are used to estimate the distribution of forecasts and generate robust prediction intervals and predictive distributions as part of the BaggingForecaster.

3.5. Evaluation Metrics

We evaluate the performance of our forecasting models using the following metrics:

• CRPS - Continuous Ranked Probability Score [30] measures the accuracy of probabilistic forecasts by comparing the predicted distribution to the observed values. The CRPS for a real-valued forecast distribution *d* and an observation *y* can be defined as:

$$CRPS(d, y) = E[| X - y |] - \frac{1}{2}E[| X - X' |],$$
(1)

where X and X' are independent random variables with distribution d.

• PinballLoss - the pinball loss, also known as quantile loss [31], evaluates the accuracy of quantile forecasts by penalizing deviations from the true values based on specified quantiles. For quantile forecasts $\hat{q}_1, ..., \hat{q}_k$ at levels $\tau_1, ..., \tau_k$ and an observation y, the Pinball Loss is defined as:

$$L(\hat{q}, y) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max(\tau_i(y - \hat{q}_i), (1 - \tau_i)(\hat{q}_i - y))$$
(2)

The experiment uses the Pinball Loss at quantiles 0.05, and 0.95.

• AUCalibration - The Area between the calibration curve and the diagonal assesses how well the prediction intervals capture the true values. For observations $y_1, ..., y_n$ and corresponding distributional predictions with quantile functions $Q_1, ..., Q_n$ (where $Q_i = F_i^{-1}$ for the cdf F_i), the AUCalibration is defined as:

$$AUC(Q_{:}, y_{:}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |c_{(i)} - \frac{i}{N}|, \qquad (3)$$

where $c_i := Q_i(y_i)$, and $c_{(i)}$ is the *i*-th order statistic of $c_1, ..., c_N$.

- IntervalWidth width of prediction intervals, or sharpness measures the concentration
 of the prediction intervals. More concentrated intervals indicate higher confidence in
 the forecasts. Sharpness is desirable because it indicates precise predictions. Sharpness is calculated as the average width of the prediction intervals.
- EmpiricalCoverage Empirical coverage measures how much of the observations are within the predicted interval. It is computed as the proportion of observations that fall within the prediction, providing a direct measure of the reliability of the intervals. A prediction interval ranging from the 5th to the 95th quantile should cover 90% of the observations. I.e., the empirical coverage should be close to 0.9.
- runtime Besides metrics that assess the quality of the forecast, average runtime for an individual fit/interence run is also reported. Runtime measures the computational efficiency of the forecasting methods, which is crucial for practical applications.

4. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we describe the experimental setup, the datasets, the evaluation metrics, and the experimental procedures. We explain how the experiments are designed to compare the performance of different forecasting methods.

4.1. Experimental Setup

To perform the benchmarking study, we use the framework described in Section 3. The benchmarking compares different probabilistic wrappers on different datasets and with different forecasters regarding CRPS, Pinball Loss, AUCalibration, and Runtime.

To enable easy replication of the experiments, we provide for each used forecaster, and wrapper the hyperparameters by providing the used Python object instantiation in Table 1. Note, that the parameter seasonal periodicity (sp) is dataset dependent and is set to 48 for the Australian Electricity Dataset and 1 for the other datasets.

To create the cross validation folds, we use the SlidingWindowSplitter from sktime. The instantiation of the splitter for each dataset is shown in Table 3. Figure 3 is showing the resulting cross validation folds for the tree datasets. The properties of the datasets are summarized in Table 2.



Figure 3. The splits used for the evaluation on the three datasets. Blue indicates the training data, and orange indicates the test data. The splits are created using the parameters from Table 2 and Table 3

4.2. Datasets

We evaluate our forecasting methods and probabilistic wrappers on several diverse time series datasets, each offering unique characteristics:

- Australian Electricity Demand [14]: Half-hourly electricity demand data for five states of Australia: Victoria, New South Wales, Queensland, Tasmania, and South Australia, useful for high-frequency data evaluation.
- **Sunspot Activity [15]**: Weekly observations of sunspot numbers, ideal for testing forecasting robustness on long-term periodic patterns.
- **US Births [16]**: Daily birth records in the United States, with a clear seasonal pattern, suitable for daily data performance assessment.

4.3. Results

In this section, we present the results of our experiments. We evaluate the performance of the forecasting methods combined with probabilistic wrappers on the datasets described in Section 4.2.

To increase the conciseness, we calculated the rank of each probabilistic wrapper for each combination of forecaster, metric, and dataset. Afterwards, for each metric, probabilistic wrapper and dataset, we have calculated the average across all forecasters and time series. In the following, we present the results for each dataset separately, except for the runtime, which is the same for all three experiments. Thus, we describe it only for the Australian Electricity Demand dataset.

4.3.1. Performance on Australian Electricity Demand:

The results for the Australian electricity demand dataset are summarized in Table 4. We compare the performance of different forecasting models and probabilistic wrappers using the previously described evaluation metrics.

The ranked based evaluation show that diverse results regarding the different metrics. E.g. while CI Empirical Residual performs best on CRPS, it is only mediocre regarding the

Table 1. The table lists the specification strings for the estimators used in the study. Note that a full pipeline consists of pre-processing, wrapper, and base forecaster, as detailed in Section 3. Some of the parameters are determined by the used dataset: sp is 48 for the Autralian Electricity Dataset

and 1 for the other. The sample_freq is 0.005 for the Australian Electricity Dataset and 0.1 for the other.

Role	Name	Hyperparameters
Base Forecaster	Naive last	NaiveForecaster(strategy="last", sp=sp)
Base Forecaster	Naive mean	NaiveForecaster(strategy="mean", sp=sp)
Base Forecaster	Naive drift	NaiveForecaster(strategy="drift", sp=sp)
Base Forecaster	Theta	<pre>StatsForecastAutoTheta(season_length=sp)</pre>
Wrapper	CI Empirical	ConformalIntervals(forecaster, sample_frac=sample_frac)
Wrapper	CI Empirical residuals	ConformalIntervals(forecaster, sample_frac=sample_frac, method="empirical_residual")
Wrapper	CI Conformal	ConformalIntervals(forecaster, sample_frac=sample_frac, method="conformal")
Wrapper	CI Bonferroni	ConformalIntervals(forecaster, sample_frac=sample_frac, method="conformal_bonferroni")
Wrapper	BaggingForecaster	BaggingForecaster(ts_bootstrap_adapter, forecaster))
Wrapper	Naive Variance	NaiveVariance(forecaster, initial_window=14*sp))
Wrapper	Squaring Residuals	<pre>SquaringResiduals(forecaster, initial_window=14*sp))</pre>
Forecasting Pipeline	Pipeline	Differencer(1) * Deaseasonalizer(sp=sp) * Wrapper
ts_bootstrap_adapter	TSBootstrapAdapter	TSBootstrapAdapter(tsbootsrap)
tsbootstrap	Moving Block Bootstrap	MovingBlockBootstrap()
tsbootstrap	Block Residual Bootstrap	<pre>BlockDistributionBootstrap()</pre>
tsbootstrap	Block Statistic Preserving Bootstrap	<pre>BlockStatisticPreservingBootstrap()</pre>
tsbootstrap	Block Distribution Bootstrap	BlockDistributionBootstrap()

Pinball Loss and the AUCalibration. On PinballLoss, the best method is CI Empirical and on AUCalibration, it is Moving Block Bootstrap. Regarding the runtime, the fastest method

Table 2. To perform the evaluation, we used three datasets. Due to the different frequencies and lengths, we used different parameters for the Sliding Window Splitter to create the cross-validation folds. This table presents the parameters used for each dataset.

Dataset	Forecast Horizon	Step Width	Window Size	Cutout Pe- riod	Number of Folds	Seasonal Periodicity
Australian Electricity Demand	48	1440	1440	Last Year	12	48
Sunspot Ac- tivity	28	395	365	Last 40 Years	12	1
US Births	28	395	365	Whole Time Series	12	1

Dataset	CV splitter
Australian Electricity Demand	<pre>SlidingWindowSplitter(step_length=48*30, window_length=48*30,fh=range(48))</pre>
Sunspot Activity	<pre>SlidingWindowSplitter(step_length=395, window_length=365,fh=range(28))</pre>
US Births	<pre>SlidingWindowSplitter(step_length=395, window_length=365,fh=range(28))</pre>

Table 3. The code instantiation of the cross-validation splits used for the evaluation on the three datasets.The parameters are taken from Table 2.

Table 4. Performance of forecasting methods on the Australian electricity demand dataset

Wrapper	CRPS	Pinball Loss	AUCalibration	Runtime
Fallback	9.45	9.66	5.61	1.00
Naive Variance	7.75	7.20	7.15	10.00
Squaring Residuals	7.45	6.20	5.05	11.00
Block Distribution Bootstrap	4.08	3.15	6.82	7.83
Block Residual Bootstrap	4.30	5.58	5.84	8.32
Block Statistic Preserving Bootstrap	4.25	5.87	6.15	7.29
Moving Block Bootstrap	6.12	6.51	4.26	6.00
CI Conformal	5.75	5.40	5.69	3.92
CI Empirical	3.79	2.63	6.97	3.30
CI Empirical Residual	2.37	5.42	5.35	3.49
CI Bonferroni	10.50	8.05	6.70	3.70

is the fallback probabilistic prediction of the base forecaster. The slowest methods are NaiveVariance and SquaringResiduals. Furthermore, it seems that the ConformalIntervals are slightly faster than the BaggingForecasters.

4.3.2. Performance on Sunspot Activity:

Table 5 shows the performance of our methods on the sunspot activity dataset. The long-term periodic patterns in this dataset provide a challenging test for our forecasting models.

The ranked based evaluation show that BaggingForecaster with the Block Distribution Bootstrap scores clearly best regarding the CRPS and Pinball Loss, and AUCalibration.

4.3.3. Performance on US Births:

The results for the US births dataset are presented in Table 6. This dataset, with its clear seasonal pattern, allows us to assess the models' ability to handle daily data. The ranked based evaluation show that BaggingForecaster with the Block Distribution Bootstrap scores best regarding the CRPS and Pinball Loss. Regarding the AUCalibration, the best score is achieved by CI Conformal.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Our experiments demonstrate that the benchmarking framework in sktime provides an easy-to-use solution for reproducible benchmarks. We showed this by conducting simple benchmark studies of probabilistic wrappers for point forecasts on three different systems and make the corresponding code available at: https://github.com/sktime/code_for_paper_scipyconf24/tree/main.

Wrapper	CRPS	PinballLoss	AUCalibration	Runtime
Fallback	9.00	7.25	9.50	1.00
Naive Variance	6.50	6.25	7.75	10.00
Squaring Residuals	8.00	8.00	4.75	11.00
Block Distribution Bootstrap	1.00	1.00	3.00	7.25
Block Residual Bootstrap	6.00	6.25	5.00	6.75
Block Statistic Preserving Bootstrap	5.50	7.00	2.50	6.25
Moving Block Bootstrap	5.75	5.75	7.50	5.00
CI Conformal	4.75	4.50	6.75	4.50
CI Empirical	5.50	4.00	7.25	4.50
CI Empirical Residual	3.50	8.00	6.25	4.25
CI Bonferroni	10.50	8.00	5.75	5.50

Table 5. Performance of forecasting methods on the sunspot activity dataset

Table 6. Performance of forecasting methods on the US births dataset

Wrapper	CRPS	PinballLoss	AUCalibration	Runtime
Fallback	9.25	9.50	7.88	1.00
Naive Variance	6.25	5.75	6.25	10.00
Squaring Residuals	9.50	8.50	6.50	11.00
Block Distribution Bootstrap	1.00	1.00	8.25	7.25
Block Residual Bootstrap	3.75	5.75	5.50	7.00
Block Statistic Preserving Bootstrap	5.50	6.00	7.38	6.25
Moving Block Bootstrap	5.75	5.25	5.12	4.75
CI Conformal	6.00	6.00	4.75	4.25
CI Empirical	5.50	4.50	4.88	4.75
CI Empirical Residual	3.00	6.00	5.00	5.25
CI Bonferroni	10.50	7.75	4.50	4.50

Regarding our benchmark study, we note that this is a limited study primarily aimed at showcasing the capabilities of the proposed framework. Therefore, future work should include a comprehensive hyperparameter search to identify the best parameters for the probabilistic wrappers. Additionally, further bootstrapping methods need to be explored, as well as other wrappers such as generative neural networks, including Generative Adversarial Networks, Variational Autoencoders, or Invertible Neural Networks [32], [33].

Besides extending the range of wrappers, we also plan to include additional point forecasters as base models, such as AutoARIMA, in our study, Furthermore, the number of examined datasets should be expanded to provide a more comprehensive evaluation. Finally, we did not perform a grid search on the hyperparameters for the wrappers, which means that with different hyperparameters, their performance and runtime might change.

In conclusion, the sktime evaluation modules enable the performance of reproducible forecasting benchmarks. We demonstrated its applicability in a small benchmarking study that compares different probabilistic wrappers for point forecasters. In future work, we aim to collaborate with the scientific community to integrate more wrappers and conduct a broader benchmark study on this topic.

References

- T. Gneiting and M. Katzfuss, "Probabilistic forecasting," Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application, vol. 1, pp. 125–151, 2014, doi: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-statistics-062713-085831.
- [2] S. Makridakis, E. Spiliotis, and V. Assimakopoulos, "The M4 Competition: 100,000 time series and 61 forecasting methods," *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 54–74, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijforecast.2019.04.014.
- [3] S. Makridakis, E. Spiliotis, and V. Assimakopoulos, "M5 accuracy competition: Results, findings, and conclusions," *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1346–1364, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast. 2021.11.013.
- [4] Y. Chen, Y. Kang, Y. Chen, and Z. Wang, "Probabilistic forecasting with temporal convolutional neural network," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 399, pp. 491–501, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2020.03.011.
- [5] J. Nowotarski and R. Weron, "Recent advances in electricity price forecasting: A review of probabilistic forecasting," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 81, pp. 1548–1568, 2018, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser. 2017.05.234.
- [6] K. Rasul et al., "Lag-llama: Towards foundation models for time series forecasting," arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08278, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.08278.
- [7] A. Das, W. Kong, R. Sen, and Y. Zhou, "A decoder-only foundation model for time-series forecasting," arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10688, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.10688.
- [8] H. Semmelrock, S. Kopeinik, D. Theiler, T. Ross-Hellauer, and D. Kowald, "Reproducibility in Machine Learning-Driven Research." [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.10320
- [9] F. J. Király, B. Mateen, and R. Sonabend, "NIPS Not Even Wrong? A Systematic Review of Empirically Complete Demonstrations of Algorithmic Effectiveness in the Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence Literature." [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.07519
- [10] F. Király et al., "sktime/sktime: v0.29.0." [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11095261
- [11] E. Spiliotis, V. Assimakopoulos, and S. Makridakis, "Generalizing the theta method for automatic forecasting," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 284, no. 2, pp. 550–558, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor. 2020.01.007.
- [12] S. Gilda, "tsbootstrap." [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10866090
- [13] S. Gilda, B. Heidrich, and F. Kiraly, "tsbootstrap: Enhancing Time Series Analysis with Advanced Bootstrapping Techniques." 2024. doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2404.15227.
- [14] R. Godahewa, C. Bergmeir, G. Webb, R. Hyndman, and P. Montero-Manso, "Australian Electricity Demand Dataset." [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4659727
- [15] R. Godahewa, C. Bergmeir, G. Webb, R. Hyndman, and P. Montero-Manso, "Sunspot Daily Dataset (without Missing Values)." [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4654722
- [16] R. Godahewa, C. Bergmeir, G. Webb, R. Hyndman, and P. Montero-Manso, "US Births Dataset." [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4656049
- [17] M. Löning, A. Bagnall, S. Ganesh, V. Kazakov, J. Lines, and F. J. Király, "sktime: A unified interface for machine learning with time series," arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.07872, 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1909.07872.
- [18] Federico Garza, "StatsForecast: Lightning fast forecasting with statistical and econometric models." [Online]. Available: https://github.com/Nixtla/statsforecast
- [19] J. Perktold et al., "statsmodels/statsmodels: Release 0.14.2." [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 10984387
- [20] F. Pedregosa et al., "Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python," the Journal of machine Learning research, vol. 12, pp. 2825–2830, 2011, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1201.0490.
- [21] B. Bischl et al., "mlr: Machine Learning in R," Journal of Machine Learning Research, vol. 17, no. 170, pp. 1–5, 2016, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1609.06146.
- [22] M. Hall, E. Frank, G. Holmes, B. Pfahringer, P. Reutemann, and I. H. Witten, "The WEKA data mining software: an update," SIGKDD Explor. Newsl., vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 10–18, 2009, doi: 10.1145/1656274.1656278.
- [23] M. O'Hara-Wild, R. Hyndman, and E. Wang, "fable: Forecasting Models for Tidy Time Series," 2024. [Online]. Available: https://fable.tidyverts.org/
- [24] F. J. Király, M. Löning, A. Blaom, A. Guecioueur, and R. Sonabend, "Designing machine learning toolboxes: Concepts, principles and patterns," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.04938*, 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. 2101.04938.
- [25] R. J. Hyndman and G. Athanasopoulos, Forecasting: principles and practice. OTexts, 2018.
- [26] V. Assimakopoulos and K. Nikolopoulos, "The theta model: a decomposition approach to forecasting," International Journal of Forecasting, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 521–530, 2000, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070(00)00066-2.

- [27] G. Shafer and V. Vovk, "A tutorial on conformal prediction.," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 9, no. 3, 2008, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0706.3188.
- [28] P. Sedgwick, "Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni correction," BMJ, vol. 344, 2012, doi: 10.1136/bmj.e509.
- [29] C. Bergmeir, R. J. Hyndman, and J. M. Benítez, "Bagging exponential smoothing methods using STL decomposition and Box–Cox transformation," *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 303–312, 2016, doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2015.07.002.
- [30] J. E. Matheson and R. L. Winkler, "Scoring Rules for Continuous Probability Distributions," *Management Science*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1087–1096, 1976, doi: 10.1287/mnsc.22.10.1087.
- [31] I. Steinwart and A. Christmann, "Estimating conditional quantiles with the help of the pinball loss," 2011, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1102.2101.
- [32] K. Phipps, B. Heidrich, M. Turowski, M. Wittig, R. Mikut, and V. Hagenmeyer, "Generating probabilistic forecasts from arbitrary point forecasts using a conditional invertible neural network," *Applied Intelligence*, pp. 1–29, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-024-05346-9.
- [33] Y. Wang, G. Hug, Z. Liu, and N. Zhang, "Modeling load forecast uncertainty using generative adversarial networks," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 189, p. 106732, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2020.106732.